

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/586,649	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SEIFALIAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kuo-Liang Peng	<b>Art Unit</b> 1796	

**All Participants:**

(1) Kuo-Liang Peng.

(2) Arthur R. Crawford.

**Date of Interview:** 17 June 2010

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

38, 47, 50, 53-54, 65, 70 and 77

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kuo-Liang Peng/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In Claims 38 (line 9), 47 (line 2) and 65 (line 8), replace "ratio" with - molar ratio -- as supported in the specification (page 10, last paragraph) where the ratio is related to the NUMBERS of polyols and polysiloxanes. The other amendments are merely for typographical errors. .